

## Peeping Tom

Directed by: Michael Powell ©/Production Company. Michael Powell (Theatre) Ltd Nat Cohen and Stuart Levy present a Michael Powell production Production Manager: Al Marcus Production Assistants: Judith Coxhead, William J. Paton 1st Assistant Director: Ted Sturgis Continuity: Rita Davison An Original Story and Screenplay by: Leo Marks Photographed in Eastmancolor by: Otto Heller Camera Operator: Gerry Turpin Chief Electrician: Victor E. Smith Editor: Noreen Ackland Art Director: Arthur Lawson Assistant Art Director: Ivor Beddoes Set Dresser: Don Picton Construction Manager: Ronald Udell Miss Anna Massey's Dresses by: Polly Peck Miss Moira Shearer's Dresses by: John Tullis of Horrockses Wardrobe: Dickie Richardson Make-up: W.J. Partleton Hairdressing: Pearl Orton Hats by: Millinery Guild Music Composed and Directed by: Brian Easdale Percussion Number by: Wally Stott Dance Music by: Freddie Phillips Solo Piano: Gordon Watson Sound Recordists: C.C. Stevens, Gordon McCallum Sound Editor: Malcolm Cooke uncredited

Producer: Michael Powell
Associate Producer: Albert Fennell
2nd Assistant Director: Denis Johnson
3rd Assistant Director: Carl Mannin
Focus Puller: Derek Browne
Clapper Loader: Jim Hopewell
Stills: Norman Gryspeerdt
1st Assistant Editor: Alma Godfrey
2nd Assistant Editor: John Rushton
Draughtsman: Maurice Pelling
Wardrobe Assistant: Vi Garnham
Studio: Pinewood Studios

Cast: Carl Boehm (Mark Lewis)

Moira Shearer (Vivian)
Anna Massey (Helen Stephens)
Maxine Audley (Mrs Stephens)
Brenda Bruce (Dora)
Miles Malleson (elderly gentleman)
Esmond Knight (Arthur Baden)
Martin Miller (Dr Rosan)
Michael Goodliffe (Don Jarvis)
Jack Watson (Inspector Gregg)
Shirley Ann Field (Diane Ashley)
Pamela Green (Milly)

uncredited
Bartlett Mullins (Mr Peters)
Nigel Davenport (Sgt Miller)
Brian Wallace (Tony)
Susan Travers (Lorraine)
Maurice Durant (publicity chief)
Brian Worth (assistant director)
Veronica Hurst (Miss Simpson)
Alan Rolfe (store detective)
John Dunbar (police doctor)
Guy Kingsley-Poynter (P. Tate, cameraman)
Keith Baxter (Baxter, detective)
Peggy Thorpe-Bates (Mrs Partridge)

# **CINEMA UNBOUND: THE CREATIVE WORLDS OF POWELL + PRESSBURGER**

# **Peeping Tom**

# Thelma Schoonmaker on 'Peeping Tom'

What's so powerful is that you care about this man who was a murderer – so beautifully portrayed by Carl Boehm – but who has been created by his father to be a murderer. You care about him. The critics were horrified at that feeling in themselves. They thought, 'Well, we must get rid of this film because people shouldn't be feeling that way.' These days, many films are made like this. Michael wasn't even the first: Fritz Lang's *M* [1931] did something like this.

I've just finished helping restore the movie, and I love the great detail and care that went into it to make that character someone you felt for. Michael completely changed the way he made films with this movie. A different cameraman and a different look – very much a 1960s look, even the colour, the way sets are designed, the way people wear clothes is very 1960s. It was a big thing for him, to jump ahead and make a film relevant to that time.

Sight and Sound, November 2023

Part of the exasperation, if not the loathing, prompted by Michael Powell's 'nice, pure, beautiful film' (as he called it) when it first appeared in 1960 can be explained by sheer disorientation. From the title inwards, nothing that *Peeping Tom* delivers is quite what it promises (or threatens); if there is a logic to be traced beneath its surface of peculiar imprecision, it is that of the surviving airman (how does he survive?) in *A Matter of Life and Death* or of the family curse (is it really a blessing?) in '*I Know Where I'm Going!*' In other words, the film requires some indulgence from its observers in order to survive its own contradictions. Too little tolerance, and *Peeping Tom* is 'merely' about a deranged sexual pervert; too much, and it becomes – equally mysteriously – a key to the whole purpose of watching movies.

The notoriety of *Peeping Tom* as a horror film seems ill-deserved; it is resolutely understated, its death scenes unfashionably bloodless. The pin-ups by which the newsagent supplements his income also have the innocent inhibition of a long-departed era, less provocative than most contemporary greetings cards. 'You won't see that in *Sight and Sound*!' exclaims the focus-puller's colleague, waving an iconic snapshot – and, sure enough, *Sight and Sound* pointedly ignored *Peeping Tom* on first release. But today Powell's film could no longer be interpreted on whatever pretext as a corrupting influence, an insult, or a flagrant waste of talent. Already adrift from its period, set in a London where accents and grammar still ring with the stoicism of the immediately post-war, it now reads most plausibly as compassionate fable, strangely echoing in its themes and images of possessiveness, blindness and loss another of the stories that Powell filmed without Pressburger, *The Thief of Bagdad*, back in 1940. That film, too, began with (and repeatedly returned to) a piercing gaze.

Much can be made of *Peeping Tom*'s opening shot, the eye springing open both to absorb and to attack: it can be read as both fearsome and fearful, menacing and vulnerable, both an awakening and an insight, even implying that everything to follow is imaginary, perceived only by an inner eye. Always to be found, by a sadistic stretch, in the Archers trademark (an arrow piercing the pupil of the target), the recurring Powell motif promises reward and punishment, clarity of vision offset by potential malignancy of purpose. In *Peeping Tom* it is promptly associated with the lens of the camera which, after thrusting at us furtively from folds of clothing, identifies us with the killer, not with his victim. Since we have no quarrel with the luckless Dora (although emphatically no reason to like her, either), nor do we know quite how she dies, it seems at first that a useful opportunity for clarification is offered by the repeat of the whole sequence behind the opening credits. Powell's ingenuity, however, only leads to complications.

John Barrard (small man)
Roland Curram (young man extra)
Robert Crewdson (tall shop assistant)
John Chappell (clapper boy)
Paddy Edwardes (girl extra)
Frank Singuineau (first electrician)
Margaret Neal (stepmother)
Michael Powell (A.N. Lewis, Mark's father)
Columba Powell (Mark as a child)
UK 1960©
102 mins

# CINEMA UNBOUND: THE CREATIVE WORLDS OF POWELL + PRESSBURGER

The Red Shoes From Fri 8 Dec The Red Shoes in the Spotlight Fri 8 Dec 18:00 Bluebeard's Castle (Herzog Blaubarts Burg) Fri 8 Dec 20:40; Fri 15 Dec 18:10 (+ intro by writer Lillian Crawford); Sat 23 Dec 13:30

Crown v. Stevens + Behind the Mask (aka The Man Behind the Mask)

Sat 9 Dec 12:40; Sat 23 Dec 15:00

## **Peeping Tom**

Sat 9 Dec 15:00 (+ Doesn't Exist magazine launch and panel discussion hosted by Victor Fraga); Fri 15 Dec 20:50; Mon 18 Dec 20:45; Thu 21 Dec 18:00; Sat 23 Dec 18:00; Fri 29 Dec 18:15

#### The Tales of Hoffmann

Sat 9 Dec 17:30; Tue 12 Dec 20:20 (+ intro by Andrew Moor, Manchester Metropolitan University); Sat 16 Dec 14:45: Sat 30 Dec 17:30

### Honeymoon (Luna de miel)

Sun 10 Dec 13:25; Thu 28 Dec 20:40

## The Small Back Room

Sun 10 Dec 18:30; Sat 16 Dec 20:45; Fri 22 Dec 18:20; Wed 27 Dec 20:30; Sat 30 Dec 15:00

Queering Powell + Pressburger Tue 12 Dec 18:00 Experimenta: Michelle Williams Gamaker and

Powell + Pressburger + Michelle Williams Gamaker in conversation with Dr Kulraj Phullar

Wed 13 Dec 18:05 **Oh... Rosalinda!!** Wed 13 Dec 20:45

They're a Weird Mob Sat 16 Dec 17:45; Fri 29 Dec 20:40

# The Phantom Light

Sun 17 Dec 12:30

Espionage: Never Turn Your Back on a Friend / A Free Agent + intro Sun 17 Dec 15:15

# Library Talk: The Glass Pearls

Tue 19 Dec 19:30 BFI Reuben Library

The Love Test + Something Always Happens

Tue 19 Dec 20:20 Lazybones + Her Last Affaire Wed 20 Dec 17:50

Age of Consent

Fri 22 Dec 20:45; Wed 27 Dec 18:15

## A Matter of Life and Death

Sat 23 Dec 15:00 BFI IMAX

Black Narcissus Sat 30 Dec 14:30 BFI IMAX

With thanks to





The monochrome version is not, in fact, a repeat of the initial encounter, which seems to be (but isn't) a single tracking shot from start to finish: it is edited from a different take, while oddly repeating the glimpse (a deliberate mistake?) of the camera unit's shadow across the shop front. This time around, Dora clutches a lamppost in passing, fails to meet a fellow lodger on the stairs, and does not appear to speak before dying. It is puzzling that, given our understanding of the camera's position, she consistently looks us straight in the lens. But the main problems posed by this series of subtle non-sequiturs relate not to the murderer's identity, since he sits there in front of us, but to the questions of how and why the murder was committed. It takes most of the film to produce some answers, partly because the lurid device of the camera-tripod blade - a potent enough symbol, although perplexingly unwieldy and impractical – is less important than the parabolic mirror (strenuously concealed from us until the end) in which the victims see themselves, and partly because the explanation of the murderer's purpose proves to be no more than a clue to a range of deeper motivations.

Deliberately or not, *Peeping Tom* encourages distrust. What are we to make, for example, of Mark's German accent, somehow acquired since his childhood (we hear tapes of the boy's immaculate English) although he has always lived in the same house? What kind of an autumn evening, close to Firework Night, is still broad daylight at 7pm, and how is it that the whole business of Milly's murder takes only as long as a postman (working unusual hours) takes to deliver a letter? Less trivially, we might wonder why Helen only meets Mark (her landlord) after she has been given, at 21, the key of the door; what might be significant about his gift of a dragonfly brooch (a reference to *The Tales of Hoffmann*? a comment on the emerging adult?); and when exactly it was that Mark's 'researches' turned him into a killer. If Dora was the first, what prompted the escalation – and what did he film before? And crucially, since *Peeping Tom* contrives to be a film about sex while scarcely mentioning the subject, how does Mark's condition relate to his lost mother, his hated stepmother, and his father's vast collection of sound tapes?

Mark's own answer to the riddle of his behaviour admits no sexual implication. 'I made them watch their own deaths,' he says of his victims, 'and if death has a face they saw that too.' This would suggest that Mark's altruistic continuation of his father's work was driven by the need to understand the ultimate fear, in anticipation of his own demise. It is invalidated by the use of the mirror in which the women would only see a wildly distorted image, in fact a reflection of how Mark sees them. Studying their deaths on film, a documentary slowly taking on the shape of a complete Powell production, Mark is distracted from his metaphysical quest by the intervention of Helen and the 'reality' of love, neatly if ironically signalled by the insistent ringing of a bell. He has to make a choice and, since this is Powell's world, the film takes priority over the relationship; he brings his father's exhaustive project to its inevitable close.

Tinkering with Freudian theories (as Powell and Leo Marks began their collaboration by doing), a more satisfactory reading might be that as a consequence of his father-obsession Mark is jealous of his stepmother and kills off her later equivalents in order to keep his father (the real 'Peeping Tom') to himself. As sex has no part in this relationship, any sexual behaviour – such as kissing couples or posing glamour-girls – has to be suppressed and punished. At the same time, by 'becoming' his father, Mark can justify a tolerance towards Helen as a potential partner/mother, while Mrs Stephens, 'seeing' him more clearly for being blind, also has some vestige of maternal authority over him. But the enigmas of the film, like the veil that lifts across Mark's first meeting with Helen's mother, safely defy explanation. The most appropriate verdict comes after Mark's reunion with death, in the form of a splendidly ambiguous Powellian comment both on the after-life and on *Peeping Tom* itself 'There's nothing,' says the expert, 'to be afraid of.'

Philip Strick, Sight & Sound, November 1994